



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,787	04/15/2004	William J. Thompson	42524-1317 6669	
21611	7590 02/14/2006		EXAMINER	
SNELL & WILMER LLP 600 ANTON BOULEVARD			RAMIREZ, RAMON O	
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
COSTA MESA, CA 92626			3632	
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/824,787	THOMPSON, WILLIAM J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RAMON O. RAMIREZ	3632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	nnuary 2006.					
•—	action is non-final.					
·—						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	· -					

Art Unit: 3632

Detailed Action

This is the second Office Action corresponding to amendment filed Jan 23, 2006. The application contains 29 claims. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garvin (Pat No 6,364,266).

The patent to Garvin discloses a support structure comprising a drop segment (22), a stabilizing segment (curved section), a loop (18) and a coupling mechanism (40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garvin.

The dimensions of the loop and the diameter of the rod used to make the device are considered to be obvious matters of engineering choice having no patentable significance.

Claims 5-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garvin in view of Roffelsen.

The patent to Roffelsen discloses a fastener and a bushing like having a flange (13, 14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the device shown by Garvin with a bushing like element as the one shown by Roffelsen to use it as an alternative way to anchor the device to the

Art Unit: 3632

supporting surface. The specific material, dimensions, choice of fasteners, etc. is considered to be obvious matters of engineering choice having no patentable significance. As to claims 27 and 28, the device shown by Garvin is a unitary support formed by bending a metal rod to form a fastening loop, and Roffelsen shows the use of a coupling member having a flange, which is coupled as recited in the claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments regarding to Garvin as applied to the claims have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argument that Garvin does not lay against an upper support or other surface is not understood. Garvin is disclosed as being used on a ceiling (the upper support) and a wall (the other surface).

Applicant's arguments regarding Shibuya are have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Kitayama et al (6,659,702) is cited to show the use of a fastener and a coupling element (16) having a flange (17).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3632

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMON O. RAMIREZ whose telephone number is (571) 272-6821. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY, IST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ROBERT OLSZEWSKI can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

ROR February 7, 2006